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## Comparing CT datasets



Selected CT slices from different patients showing similar areas (Source: Nuklearmed. Klinik der TU Muenchen)

- Comparing different CT datasets taken at different time
- Matching of 3D datasets performed by hand takes a lot of time
- Existing algorithms work on projective matrices. - - matching a large amount of points created by edge detection - - computing the difference of every data domain voxel
- $\Rightarrow$ Use (blood) vessels as (more) characteristic data with less
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## Comparing CT datasets



Selected CT slices from different patients showing similar areas (Source: Nuklearmed. Klinik der TU Muenchen)

- Comparing different CT datasets taken at different time
- Matching of 3D datasets performed by hand takes a lot of time
- Existing algorithms work on projective matrices...
-     - matching a large amount of points created by edge detection
-     - computing the difference of every data domain voxel
-     - ...
- $\Rightarrow$ Use (blood) vessels as (more) characteristic data with less data for representation


## Simulation of spheres moving through vessels



Simulated movement of sphere within the blood vessel

- Simulate the movement of spheres through vessels touching the borders like a chimney-sweeper
- Sphere radii are variable and grow/shrink to touch the vessel borders
- Spheres stay in the center of the vessels
- The radius of the sphere representing the blood vessels is stored at each center point
- Direct implementation would be too inefficient due to collision tests, realignment of sphere, etc.
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## Simulation of Sphere movement by different Filters

- Raw CT data

- Growing Spheres
- Computation of Gradient
- Particle emission
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## Spheres - Window



- Values for coronary contrast media are usually within a specific window


## Spheres - Threshold flag field

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |



- Thresholding CT data by the window range [win min ; win $_{\text {max }}$ ]
- Flag field speeds up computations
- Important for convolution in frequency room (later)
- Typical values for coronary contrast media: [150; 1000]

$$
\text { FlagData }_{\text {pos }}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { win }_{\text {min }}<\text { value }_{\text {pos }}<\text { win }_{\max } \\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

## Spheres - First spherical test

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |



- Avoiding early stop of spherical growing on noisy data:
- Start with a radius StartRadius
- Abort if there are too many mismatching flags within the sphere
- Output value SphereData $_{\text {pos }}$ of current voxel is set to $\mathbf{0}$ if first spherical test was not successful


## Start sphere abort criteria

$$
\frac{\sum_{\text {pos } \in \text { StartSphere }} \text { FlagData }_{\text {pos }}}{\mid \text { Voxels in Sphere } \mid}<\text { MaxMismatch }
$$

## Spheres - Growing spheres, radius 3

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |



- If there was no output data set continue growing the sphere
- Growing is stopped if too many mismatching voxels on the sphere surface exceed a specific error value
- Output value SphereDatapos is set to the current sphere radius if the abort criteria is met

Sphere growing abort criteria


## Spheres - Growing spheres, radius 4



| 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |



Left: Mismatch value $9 / 16$ exceeds the allowed rate MaxMismatch Middle: Stored radius values after applying spherical filter
Right: Spherical dataset created by the spherical filter

- Sphere growing for every voxel returns data set with the following properties:
- The sphere radii represent the blood vessels with a diameter of at least 2. StartRadius
- Blood vessels could be reconstructed with the spherical dataset by joining the sphere volumes
- Spheres totally covered by larger spheres can be dropped if we are only interested in a representative data for blood vessels


## Gradient - Computation

| 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |$\Longrightarrow$

$$
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c}
0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 \\
\hline 0,0 & 0,1 & -1,0 & -3,0 & -2,2 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 \\
\hline 0,0 & 1,3 & 0,1 & -1,-1-2,-2 \cdot 3 & -2,2 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 \\
\hline 0,0 & 2,2 & 3,3 & 1,0 & -1,-1 & -3,-2 & -2,2 & 0,0 & 0,0 \\
\hline 0,0 & 0,0 & 2,2 & 3,1 & 0,1 & -1,-1 & -2,-2 & -2,-2 & 0,0 \\
\hline 0,0 & 0,0 & 2,0 & 2,2 & 1,1 & 0,0 & -1,0 & 0,-2 & 0,0 \\
\hline 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 2,2 & 2,2 & 0,3 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 \\
\hline 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,2 & 2,2 & 2,2 & 1,2 & 0,0 \\
\hline 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0 & 0,0
\end{array}
$$



Gradient computation with central differences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { GradientData }_{\text {pos }}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\delta \text { SphereData }_{\text {pos }}}{\delta \times} \\
\frac{\delta \text { Spheréata }_{\text {pos }}}{\delta y} \\
\frac{\delta \text { SphereData }_{\text {pos }}}{\delta z}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { SphereData }_{\text {pos }+(1,0,0)}-\text { SphereData }_{\text {pos }-(1,0,0)} \\
\text { SphereData }_{\text {pos }+(0,1,0)}-\text { SphereData }_{\text {pos }-(0,1,0)} \\
\text { SphereData }_{\text {pos }+(0,0,1)}-\text { SphereData }_{\text {pos }-(0,0,1)}
\end{array}\right) \cdot 0.5
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gradient - Meaning

## Gradient vectors scaled by 2

| 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0,0 | 0,1 | $-1,0$ | $-3,0$ | $-2,-2$ | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 |
| 0,0 | 1,3 | 0,1 | $-1,-1$ | $-2,-3$ | $-2,-2$ | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 |
| 0,0 | 2,2 | 3,3 | 1,0 | $-1,-1$ | $-3,-2$ | $-2,-2$ | 0,0 | 0,0 |
| 0,0 | 0,0 | 2,2 | 3,1 | 0,1 | $-1,-1$ | $-2,-2$ | $-2,-2$ | 0,0 |
| 0,0 | 0,0 | 2,0 | 2,2 | 1,1 | 0,0 | $-1,0$ | $0,-2$ | 0,0 |
| 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 2,2 | 2,2 | 0,3 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 |
| 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,2 | 2,2 | 2,2 | 1,2 | 0,0 |
| 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\wedge$ | < | < | L |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\wedge$ | L | V | L |  |  |  |
| 1 | 7 | $>$ | L | V | L | L |  |
|  | 1 | 7 | $\wedge$ | L |  | $\llcorner\llcorner$ | $\llcorner$ |
|  |  | 7 | 1 |  |  | $<$ | < |
|  |  | 1 | 1 | $\wedge$ | $\wedge$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\wedge$ | 1 | 17 | 7 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Gradients aim to the local center of largest sphere in neighborhood
$\Rightarrow$ Can be used for efficient simulation of origin problem
- Growing the sphere forces movement to the center of vessel
- Movement direction is given by gradient
- Gradient can be smoothed if sphere data has a high frequency


## Particles - Emission and movement

Particle emission and movement along the gradient

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\wedge$ | $<$ | < | L |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\wedge$ | L | V | $L$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 7 | $>$ | L | V |  | $L$ |  |  |
|  | 7 | 7 | $\wedge$ | L |  | L | $L$ |  |
|  |  | 7 | 7 |  |  | < | < |  |
|  |  | 1 | 7 | $\wedge$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\wedge$ | 7 | 77 | 7 | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



- Emit particles starting on voxels with sphere > MinEmissionRadius
- MinEmissionRadius avoids emitting particles in small vessels (for registration unnecessary) and positive-false segmented areas like bones
- Particles follow the local gradient vector
- Length of gradient vector is small at the center of vessels
- Particle stops if the length of gradient vector is below a specific value


## Particles - Emission and movement

Particle emission and movement along the gradient

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\wedge$ | $<$ | < | L |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\wedge$ | $L$ | V | L |  |  |  |
| 7 | 7 | $>$ | L | V | $L$ | L |  |
|  | 7 | 7 | $\wedge$ | L | L |  | $L$ |
|  |  | 7 | 1 |  |  |  | < |
|  |  | 7 | 1 | $\wedge$ |  |  |  |
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- Emit particles starting on voxels with sphere > MinEmissionRadius
- MinEmissionRadius avoids emitting particles in small vessels (for registration unnecessary) and positive-false segmented areas like bones
- Particles follow the local gradient vector
- Length of gradient vector is small at the center of vessels
- Particle stops if the length of gradient vector is below a specific value


## Graph - Construction

Graph reconstruction


- Representing blood vessels by graphs
- Radius is also stored for each node for advanced registration
- Reduces matching of the large particle amount to matching of sparse graph nodes


## Graph - Construction - Step 1 of 3

Connecting neighbored particles to strips


- Each particle has a flag used which is set if the particle is already represented by an edge
- Search for neighbored particle within a specific range [min_dist, max_dist] where the used flag is not yet set
- Take particle which is furthest away as node NextNode
- Set used flag for all particles within the range max_dist
- Continue at the node NextNode


## Graph - Construction - Step 1 of 3

## Connecting neighbored particles to strips



- Each particle has a flag used which is set if the particle is already represented by an edge
- Search for neighbored particle within a specific range [min_dist, max_dist] where the used flag is not yet set
- Take particle which is furthest away as node NextNode
- Set used flag for all particles within the range max_dist
- Continue at the node NextNode


## Graph - Construction - Step 1 of 3

## Connecting neighbored particles to strips



- Each particle has a flag used which is set if the particle is already represented by an edge
- Search for neighbored particle within a specific range [min_dist, max_dist] where the used flag is not yet set
- Take particle which is furthest away as node NextNode
- Set used flag for all particles within the range max_dist
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## Connecting neighbored particles to strips



- Each particle has a flag used which is set if the particle is already represented by an edge
- Search for neighbored particle within a specific range [min_dist, max_dist] where the used flag is not yet set
- Take particle which is furthest away as node NextNode
- Set used flag for all particles within the range max_dist
- Continue at the node NextNode


## Graph - Construction - Step 2 of 3

Handling of first strip node within non-forking area


Start Node

- Start each edge construction at unused particle with maximum radius $\Rightarrow$ First node can have 2 neighbors
- Setting all used flags of first node within the range max_dist avoids creating edges in the opposite direction
- $\Rightarrow$ Set used flags only for particles which are also in the range max_dist of NextNode
- After creation of a stripline "in one direction", restart again


## Graph - Construction - Step 2 of 3

Handling of first strip node within non-forking area


- Start each edge construction at unused particle with maximum radius $\Rightarrow$ First node can have 2 neighbors
- Setting all used flags of first node within the range max_dist avoids creating edges in the opposite direction
- $\Rightarrow$ Set used flags only for particles which are also in the range max_dist of NextNode
- After creation of a stripline "in one direction", restart again
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Handling of first strip node within non-forking area


- Start each edge construction at unused particle with maximum radius $\Rightarrow$ First node can have 2 neighbors
- Setting all used flags of first node within the range max_dist avoids creating edges in the opposite direction
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## Graph - Construction - Step 2 of 3

Handling of first strip node within non-forking area


- Start each edge construction at unused particle with maximum radius $\Rightarrow$ First node can have 2 neighbors
- Setting all used flags of first node within the range max_dist avoids creating edges in the opposite direction
- $\Rightarrow$ Set used flags only for particles which are also in the range max_dist of NextNode
- After creation of a stripline "in one direction", restart again at first node to extend stripline in opposite direction


## Graph - Construction - Step 3 of 3

Connecting strips to graphs


- The Gradient is very high on vessel forkings $\Rightarrow$ strips are disconnected
- Use particle emission to create a connection at forkings
- Particle is emitted with a displacement in the direction described by the two corner nodes
- This particle follows the gradient until the gradient value is below a specific value
- If there's a node $N_{n}$ withir the range max_dist: connect corner node with node $N_{n}$


## Graph - Construction - Step 3 of 3

Connecting strips to graphs


- The Gradient is very high on vessel forkings $\Rightarrow$ strips are disconnected
- Use particle emission to create a connection at forkings
- Particle is emitted with a displacement in the direction described by the two corner nodes
- This particle follows the gradient until the gradient value is below a specific value
- If there's a node $N_{n}$ within the range max_dist: connect corner node with node $N_{n}$


## Matching - Terminology

Matching graphs


- Finding transformation matrix $M$
- Projecting nodes P from matching graph (applying matrix $M$ for each point) results in points $\mathbf{P}$ ' in "destination space"
- Minimize distance between nodes P' and nearest edge of destination graph


## Matching - Terminology

## Matching graphs



- Finding transformation matrix $M$
- Projecting nodes $\mathbf{P}$ from matching graph (applying matrix $M$ for each point) results in points $\mathbf{P}$ ' in "destination space"
- Minimize distance between nodes $P^{\prime}$ and nearest edge of destination graph


## Matching

Transformation Matrix M projecting point P to $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ :

$$
M \cdot P=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
m_{1,1} & m_{1,2} & m_{1,3} & m_{1,4} \\
m_{2,1} & m_{2,2} & m_{2,3} & m_{2,4} \\
m_{3,1} & m_{3,2} & m_{3,3} & m_{3,4} \\
m_{4,1} & m_{4,2} & m_{4,3} & m_{4,4}
\end{array}\right) \cdot P=P^{\prime}
$$

Transformation matrix $M$ for 3D CT data can be computed with 4 nodes $P^{i}, P^{j}, P^{k}, P^{\prime}$ of source graph and 4 points $O^{i}, O^{j}, O^{k}, O^{\prime}$ of destination graph (given in homogeneous form)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{llll}
P_{x}^{i} & P_{y_{y}^{\prime}}^{i} & P_{z}^{i} & 1 \\
P_{x}^{j} & P_{j}^{j} & P_{z}^{j} & 1 \\
P_{x}^{k} & P_{x}^{k} & P_{z}^{k} & 1 \\
P_{x}^{\prime} & P_{y}^{I} & P_{z}^{l} & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
m_{1,1} \\
m_{1,2} \\
m_{1,3} \\
m_{1,4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
O_{x}^{i} \\
O_{x}^{i} \\
O_{x}^{k} \\
O_{x}^{i}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{llll}
P_{x}^{i} & P_{y}^{i} & P_{z}^{i} & 1 \\
P_{x}^{j} & P_{j}^{j} & P_{z}^{j} & 1 \\
P_{x}^{k} & P_{y}^{k} & P_{z}^{k} & 1 \\
P_{x}^{l} & P_{y}^{l} & P_{z}^{l} & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
m_{2,1} \\
m_{2,2} \\
m_{2,3} \\
m_{2,4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
O_{y}^{i} \\
O_{y}^{j} \\
O_{y}^{k} \\
O_{y}^{i}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{llll}
P_{x}^{i} & P_{y_{y}}^{i} & P_{z}^{i} & 1 \\
P_{x}^{j} & P_{j_{j}^{j}}^{j} & P_{z}^{j} & 1 \\
P_{x}^{k} & P_{y}^{k} & P_{z}^{k} & 1 \\
P_{x}^{l} & P_{y}^{l} & P_{z}^{l} & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
m_{3,1} \\
m_{3,2} \\
m_{3,3} \\
m_{3,4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
o_{z}^{i} \\
o_{z}^{j} \\
o_{j}^{k} \\
O_{z}^{Z}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Matching - Matrix decomposition

- Creating a matrix based on all possible node combinations can produce unlikely mappings
- large shearings
- large scalings / negative scalings
- large rotations
- large translations
- Even an unlikely mapping can produce wrong matching with best computed matching
- Decompose matrix to basic transformations and restrict transformations
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## Matching - Matrix decomposition (cont')

- Matrix M is decomposed in
- 3 Translation components $t_{x}, t_{y}, t_{z}$ by matrix $T$
- 3 Rotation matrices $R_{n}$ around axis $\mathrm{n}: R_{x}, R_{y}, R_{z}$
- 3 Scaling components $s_{x}, s_{y}, s_{z}$ with matrix $S$
- 3 Shearing components $s h_{1,2,3}$ in shearing matrix $H$
- $M=H \cdot S \cdot R_{z} \cdot R_{x} \cdot R_{y} \cdot T$
- Decompositions "simulate" different basic transformations
- First the translation is done to align both datasets
- Secondly the translated dataset is rotated around y axis for better matching
- Decomposition to basic transformations give the information for an "early drop" (omit the current matrix)


## Matching - Matrix decomposition (cont')

- Matrix M is decomposed in
- 3 Translation components $t_{x}, t_{y}, t_{z}$ by matrix $T$
- 3 Rotation matrices $R_{n}$ around axis $\mathrm{n}: R_{x}, R_{y}, R_{z}$
- 3 Scaling components $s_{x}, s_{y}, s_{z}$ with matrix $S$
- 3 Shearing components $s h_{1,2,3}$ in shearing matrix $H$
- $M=H \cdot S \cdot R_{z} \cdot R_{x} \cdot R_{y} \cdot T$
- Decompositions "simulate" different basic transformations
- First the translation is done to align both datasets
- Secondly the translated dataset is rotated around y axis for better matching
- ...
- Decomposition to basic transformations give the information for an "early drop" (omit the current matrix)


## Matching - Translation

- Translation Matrix $T=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & . & . & t_{x} \\ . & 1 & . & t_{y} \\ . & . & 1 & t_{z} \\ . & . & . & 1\end{array}\right)$
- Translation decomposition:

(Search values for T to eliminate the rightmost column)
- Computation of the rightmost column values of $M$ gives the implicit solution for T
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## Matching - Translation

- Translation Matrix $T=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & . & . & t_{x} \\ . & 1 & . & t_{y} \\ . & . & 1 & t_{z} \\ . & . & . & 1\end{array}\right)$
- Translation decomposition:

$$
M=M^{\prime} \cdot T=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
m_{1,1} & m_{1,2} & m_{1,3} & 0 \\
m_{2,1} & m_{2,2} & m_{2,3} & 0 \\
m_{3,1} & m_{3,2} & m_{3,3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & t_{x} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & t_{y} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & t_{z} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

(Search values for T to eliminate the rightmost column)

- Computation of the rightmost column values of $M$ gives the implicit solution for T

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
m_{1,1} & m_{1,2} & m_{1,3} \\
m_{2,1} & m_{2,2} & m_{2,3} \\
m_{3,1} & m_{3,2} & m_{3,3}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{l}
t_{1} \\
t_{2} \\
t_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
m_{1,4} \\
m_{2,4} \\
m_{3,4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Matching - Rotation

Rotation matrices (example for given y axis):

- Use rotation matrices (from QR decomposition) to set values below diagonal to 0

$$
R_{y}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\cos (\alpha) & 0 & \sin (\alpha) & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-\sin (\alpha) & 0 & \cos (\alpha) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Eliminating entry $m_{3,1}^{\prime \prime}$ :

Assuming $m_{3,1}^{\prime \prime}$ should be set to 0 :

## Matching - Rotation

Rotation matrices (example for given y axis):

- Use rotation matrices (from QR decomposition) to set values below diagonal to 0

$$
R_{y}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\cos (\alpha) & 0 & \sin (\alpha) & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-\sin (\alpha) & 0 & \cos (\alpha) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Eliminating entry $m_{3,1}^{\prime \prime}$ :

$$
M^{\prime}=M^{\prime \prime} \cdot R_{y} \Longleftrightarrow M^{\prime} \cdot R_{y}^{-1}=M^{\prime \prime}
$$

Assuming $m_{3,1}^{\prime \prime}$ should be set to 0 :

$$
0=m_{3,1}^{\prime} \cos (\alpha)-m_{3,3}^{\prime} \sin (\alpha) \Longleftrightarrow \alpha=\operatorname{atan}\left(\frac{m_{3,1}^{\prime}}{m_{3,3}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Computation of $R_{y}$ is done by using the inverted angle $\alpha$

## Matching - Scaling and Shearing

- Result after translation and rotation elimination is an upper diagonal matrix $M^{(3)}$
- Final decomposition returns the shearing and scaling matrix

$$
\begin{gathered}
M^{(3)}=H \cdot S \Longleftrightarrow \\
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
m_{1,1}^{(3)} & m_{1,2}^{(3)} & m_{1,3}^{(3)} & \cdot \\
\cdot & m_{2,2}^{(3)} & m_{2,3}^{(3)} & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & m_{3,3}^{(3)} & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & h_{1} & h_{2} & \cdot \\
\cdot & 1 & h_{3} & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
s_{x} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & s_{y} & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & s_{z} & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
s_{x}=m_{1,1}^{(3)} \quad s_{y}=m_{2,2}^{(3)} \\
s_{z}=m_{3,3}^{(3)} \\
h_{1} \cdot s_{y} \\
=m_{1,2}^{(3)} \\
h_{2} \cdot s_{z}=m_{1,3}^{(3)} \Longleftrightarrow h_{1}=\frac{m_{1,2}^{(3)}}{s_{y}} \\
h_{3} \cdot s_{z}=h_{2,3}^{(3)}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Matching

- To work with minimal transformations of permitted matrices, pretranslate the center of image to $(0,0,0)$
- Empirical values to match $256 \times 256 \times 200$ CT scans:
- maximum relative rotation angle: $90^{\circ}$
- scale factor $\in[0.7 ; 1.3]$
- shear factor $\in[-0.3 ; 0.3]$
- maximum relative translation: 100
- pretranslation matrix.
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- To work with minimal transformations of permitted matrices, pretranslate the center of image to $(0,0,0)$
- Empirical values to match $256 \times 256 \times 200$ CT scans:
- maximum relative rotation angle: $90^{\circ}$
- scale factor $\in[0.7 ; 1.3]$
- shear factor $\in[-0.3 ; 0.3]$
- maximum relative translation: 100
- pretranslation matrix: $P=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 1 & . & -128 \\ \vdots & 1 & \vdots & -128 \\ \vdots & \vdots & 1 & -100 \\ \hline\end{array}\right)$


## Matching - Computation of matching difference



- If the matrix is valid, nodes $\mathbf{P}$ are projected to the destination graph space giving $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$
- For every projected node $P^{\prime}$, the nearest node $P^{\prime \prime}$ of the destination graph is searched
- For both adjacent nodes of $\mathrm{P}^{\prime \prime}$ the smallest distance to the edge is taken
- Best matching matrix M : Projection with the smallest sum of distances for all nodes $P^{\prime}$ to the correspgnding edges $\overline{\underline{\Xi}}^{\underline{\underline{E}}}$
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## Matching - Computation of matching difference



- If the matrix is valid, nodes $\mathbf{P}$ are projected to the destination graph space giving $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$
- For every projected node $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$, the nearest node $\mathrm{P}^{\prime \prime}$ of the destination graph is searched
- For both adjacent nodes of $\mathrm{P}^{\prime \prime}$ the smallest distance to the edge is taken
- Best matching matrix M : Projection with the smallest sum of distances for all nodes $P^{\prime}$ to the corresponding edges


## Efficient implementation

- Direct implementation would take more than half an hour to match a $256 \times 256 \times 200$ CT dataset to reference data - Sphere filter:
- Sphere filter has to be applied for every point!
- Graph filter:
- Storing a few particles with a full grid would be a waste of
memory
- Computation time for nearest points with $O\left(r^{d}\right)$ increases with resolution $n^{d}$
- Matching:
- Using naive approach for a graph with N nodes there would be

matching possibilities taking hours to
compute
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## Efficient implementation

- Direct implementation would take more than half an hour to match a $256 \times 256 \times 200$ CT dataset to reference data
- Sphere filter:
- Sphere filter has to be applied for every point!
- Graph filter:
- Storing a few particles with a full grid would be a waste of memory
- Computation time for nearest points with $O\left(r^{d}\right)$ increases with resolution $n^{d}$
- Matching:
- Using naive approach for a graph with N nodes there would be $O\left(\left(\frac{N!}{(N-4)!}\right)^{2}\right)$ matching possibilities taking hours to compute


## Sphere filter using FFT



- Applying sphere filter is a convolution for each sphere size
- Convolution can be done very efficiently in frequency space, specially for large kernels


## Sphere filter using FFT

## FFT based on periodical data



- Boundary conditions: Data fields have to be padded with extra data to work with existing FFT libraries (e.g. FFTW)
- Applying the standard FFT is based on a periodical function
- Multiplying the kernel in frequency room would take values for spheres from opposite side
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## Sphere filter using FFT

## FFT based on periodical data



- Boundary conditions: Data fields have to be padded with extra data to work with existing FFT libraries (e.g. FFTW)
- Applying the standard FFT is based on a periodical function
- Multiplying the kernel in frequency room would take values for spheres from opposite side
- Large spheres at borders don't represent the local data


## Sphere filter using FFT

FFT based on symmetric data


- Using real data FFT assuming domain is symmetric on borders
- Introduced errors just depend on the local data
- Kernel has to be initialized for only $\frac{1}{8}$ of the data domain
- Reduces the computation from $>20$ minutes to a few seconds
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## Sphere filter using FFT

FFT based on symmetric data


Left: Symmetric data - Right: Kernel

- Using real data FFT assuming domain is symmetric on borders
- Introduced errors just depend on the local data
- Kernel has to be initialized for only $\frac{1}{8}$ of the data domain
- Reduces the computation from $>20$ minutes to a few seconds


## Handling Particles with KD-Trees

## KD-Tree


http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:3dtree.png

- KD Trees store arbitrary points using a tree like structure - Efficient operations to find points within a given radius


## Handling Particles with KD-Trees

## KD-Tree


http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:3dtree.png

- KD Trees store arbitrary points using a tree like structure
- Efficient operations to find points within a given radius
- $\Rightarrow$ Graph can be constructed within a second


## Restricting Transformation Matrices

- $O\left(\left(\frac{N!}{(N-4)!}\right)^{2}\right)$ matching possibilities
- Discretization of points introduce error $\epsilon_{1}$
- Small anatomical differences of points introduce errors $\epsilon_{2}$
- Error in matrix after construction becomes less for far distant points
- Use only nodes with a distance of at least $\delta$ to create a better conditioned problem
- $\Rightarrow$ avoids the computation of the transformation matrix for many points
- Omitting impossible node combinations and nodes producing a bad conditioned problem decreases computation time to a few seconds
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- $O\left(\left(\frac{N!}{(N-4)!}\right)^{2}\right)$ matching possibilities
- Discretization of points introduce error $\epsilon_{1}$
- Small anatomical differences of points introduce errors $\epsilon_{2}$
- Error in matrix after construction becomes less for far distant points
- Use only nodes with a distance of at least $\delta$ to create a better conditioned problem
- $\Rightarrow$ avoids the computation of the transformation matrix for many points
- Omitting impossible node combinations and nodes producing a bad conditioned problem decreases computation time to a few seconds


## Results



Matching 2D CT slices using only midpoints of edges as graph matching nodes

3D CT heart blood segmentation and registration:

- Can be handled in an efficient and fast way
- Takes just a few seconds on recent quad-core-systems
- Using translation matrices offers registration of invisible areas (yellow line in right image)

Possible improvements

- Use sparser representation of graph using extrapolation or spline curves
- Using interpolation with spherical filter (aliased kernel) for more accurate sphere radii
- Graph construction: include possible omitted nodes at strip endings
- Randomized/hierarchical matching points selection (maybe using hints of graph)
- Matching graphs
- Using heuristics from graphs for matching
- Comparing edge slopes
- Use matching positive abort if computed overall distance is below a certain value (assuming this is the correct matching)


## Thank you for your

 attention

Any questions?

## References and Links

- CT Datasets: Nuklearmed. Klinik der TU Muenchen, Germany
- FFTW: http://www.fftw.org/
- KD-Tree: http://libkdtree.alioth.debian.org/
- DICOM-Toolkit: http://dicom.offis.de/dcmtk.php.de

